

9002 8 0 Hd Dod Code:

PTO/SB/33 (07/05)

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to			displays a valid OMB control number.	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)		
		872.0100.U1(US)		
I hereby certify that this corespondence is being deposited with the	Application Number		Filed	
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR	10/005,766		11/02/2001	
	First Named Inventor			
on March 30, 2006	Antti Ruha			
Signature Joseph October	Art Unit Examiner			
Typed or printed				
name Debra Pongetti	2685		Tran, Pablo N.	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified this request.	entified appli	cation. No ame	endments are being filed with	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attache Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.	ed sheet(s).			
I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)		Jeffrey	R. Ambroziak or printed name	
attorney or agent of record.		(202) 025 0400		
Registration number 47,387	•		3) 925-9400 hone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.				
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	March 30, 2006			
			Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the er Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below		their representa	tive(s) are required.	

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradeamrk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

_ forms are submitted.

*Total of



S.N.: 10/005,766 Art Unit: 2685

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re U.S. Patent Application of:

APPLICANTS: Antti Ruha et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/005,766

FILING DATE: 11/02/2001

EXAMINER: Pablo N. Tran

ART UNIT: 2685

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: 872.0100.U1(US)

TITLE: MULTI-MODE I/O CIRCUITRY SUPPORTING LOW INTERFERENCE

SIGNALING SCHEMES FOR HIGH SPEED DIGITAL INTERFACES

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW ATTACHMENT

The following is a concise recitation of a clear error in the Examiner's rejections in this application.

1. In the final Office Action of November 30, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 5-6, 8-9, 12-14, 17, 20, 23-24, 39-40, 43-44, and 46-47 as being anticipated by Hedberg (5,994,921). The Examiner asserted that Hedberg discloses that "the IC are constructed with CMOS-based transistors that are selectively interconnected together by switches to operate as two single-ended, voltage mode links, and as a single differential voltage mode link (fig. 5, fig. 6, col.1/ln. 15-29, col. 5/ln. 42-col. 6/ln. 8)."

In the Advisory Action of March 14, 2006 the Examiner reasserted that "Furthermore, Hedberg disclose such transmit/receive I/O circuits (fig. 5-6) that selectively interconnectable to operate in either single-ended, voltage mode or differential voltage mode (col. 1/ln. 15-48, col. 2/ln. 7-28, especially col. 2/ln. 22-28)." Applicants respectfully argue that the Examiner's citations to Hedberg do not support this element of claim 1.

Applicants resubmit, in their entirety, the arguments put forth in the Response of February 17, 2006 at page at page 11, line 5 to page 12, line 30 pertaining to this issue. Applicants further wish to draw attention to the last two sentences of the detailed Description, immediately prior to the recitation of the claims, wherein Hedberg discloses "Major principles of the invention apply also to single-ended sender devices. Single-ended signaling is well known in the art." This statement is consistent with the remainder of the specification

S.N.: 10/005,766 Art Unit: 2685

wherein Hedberg fails to disclose a single embodiment of the invention directed to single-ended signaling. As no such signaling mode is previously disclosed, it logically follows, as is the case, that Hedberg does not disclose a circuit "selectively interconnected together by switches to operate as two single-ended, current or voltage links, and as a single differential current or voltage mode link" as recited..